Contents Content

	45400460660			
	Introduction			
1	Articles			
1a	Intruder in the Palace	A2	Students will be better able to und hand cles.	
1b	Restaurant reviews	В1	Students will be better able to in a restaurant (spoken and online review)	
1c	Rising sea levels	B1+	Students will be better account an article for gist	
1d	Internet regulation	B2	Students will be benefine to engage with an article in a nature v_{ij}	
1e	Invisible women	C1	Stude wer able to research a topic onlin and rt it back.	
ij.	How to turn an article i	nto a less	<u> </u>	
2	Conversations			
2a	Flying high	2	Students will be better able to bring their world knowledge into the language classroom, to build confidence listening to longer excerpts.	
2b	What to do in city	B1	Students will be better able to give advice/respond to advice on what to do in a city.	
Zc	Do mi favo	B1+	Students will be better able to ask and respond to a request.	
	Text thread	82	Students will be better able to have a natural discussion about plans on social media.	
	I can't make it!	C1	Students will be better able to make and follow interactional phone cass.	

ction	Vocabulary Focus	Grammar Focus	Skills Facus	-	
1	Articles			6	
1a	Crime vocabulary	Passive	Identifying facts in an		
16	Adjectives/Food/ Restaurant chunks	Relative clauses //C onditionals	Writing online review analysing land		
10	Adverbs & prefixes	Noun phrases	Skim re-Ting		
1d	Vocabulary for referencing / cohesion	Dependent prepositions			
1e	Language for reporting a news story	Past and present tenses	Kee, vig your turn		
1f		6	•		
2	Conversations				
2a	Flight vocabulary	Con nal sentences	Using world knowledge to understand listening texts		
2b	Language for ad ie	Présent fect/should	Keeping a conversation going		
2c	Natural s ² of y flas- verbs (c go/buy	Future continuous	Asking for/Replying to favours		
20	Discussing plans	Future forms	Turning down an invitation/formality in writing		
	lealth vocabulary	Fillers/tense review	Following interactional scripts		

Contents ______ Content

ction	Lesson	Lovel	Objective
3	Stories		.0
За	Making a story come alive	A2	Students will be better able to write a baldescriptive story.
3b	Two friends	В1	Students will be more aware of they bring to a text.
3с	The coffee shop	B1+	Students will be better a fe ⁺ story with a nonlinear till line.
3d	Phobias	B2	Students will be beneral in write an engaging
3e	Anecdote	C1	Stude will er able to tell an
			eng. Americane
3f	How to: engage students	and cha	
	How to engage students Tiny Texts	and cha	
4		and chr	
3f 4 4a 4b	Tiny Texts		comprehension in different ways Students will be better able to see learning
4 4a	Tiny Texts I saw the signs	- V	Students will be better able to see learning opportunities in the world around them. Students will be better able to give a natural,
4 4a 4b	Tiny Texts I saw the signs Spoken review Quotes are: hinner	*1/ B1	Students will be better able to see learning opportunities in the world around them. Students will be better able to give a natural, spoken review of a flim or tv show.

ction	Vocabulary Focus	Grammar Focus	Skills Focus	
3	Stories			
3a	Adjectives & Advertis	Basic syntax	Genre-based descripting	b
3b	Prepositions	Grammar consolidation	Reader bias: what will no to a story	
3с	Relationship vocabulary Narrative tenses – P perfect		Writing age es	
3d	Collocations and money vocabulary	Participle clauses	Read / e /ment and n an luge of a the liter	
3e	Reporting verbs for reactions	Present tenses for stary-teiling	Story-relling techniques	
		6	•	
4	Tiny Texts			
4a	Formal language for	Ma	Analysing the language all around us	
4b	Adjectives/Film 8 v show vor=bular	^fodal verbs for advice	Organising a spoken review	
4c	Synonym Linking words		Summarising & paraphrasing	
40	Phrasal verts	Used to/Would for past habits	Decoding fast speech	
40	Thunks of language	Modal verbs ("would")	Analysing register	
3 30				

Introduction



I deally a single text would provide the basis for a self-contained lesson. First it would con within itself enough important features of the language to provide a stimulus to learning Secondly these features would be well enough exemplified within a single text and ψ^{-1} is require no supplementation. Most, indeed almost all, naturally occurring texts fulfill till of or these requirements. There is normally a swealth of possibilities to exploit. (Willis 1997)

Where did it all start?

Quite a few years ago when we were first thinking about writing materials | let | three main factors

- · frustration with compartmentalisation,
- · frustration with how texts are handled in coursebooks,
- . a desire to place text as the focus of the whole lesson.

Frustration with compartmentalisation

Firstly, in many of the observations we conducted, we need teachers compartmentalising the systems teaching in their classes. For example, a teacher would describe and look at vocabulary, before their selecting a separate worksheet for the grammar focus in the conduction. This common to conduct the selection of pronunciation. This seemed to us illogical whilst to being a waste of paper and time. Students ended up with reams of paper we were sure they would the last sometimes led to the classes featuring decontextualized systems teaching; a bit of grain a latouch of vocab unconnected to the grammar.

We wanted something different.

Frustration with how termination with how the how termination with how the h

Our second motivation was consequence and a desire tog further with the texts. Coursebooks normally contain fartastic texts with ritter allow on activities, but too often we felt that there was still more that could be mined from the first output to go somewhat deeper, to dig into them and look at skills or elements of somewhat the first output to go somewhat deeper, to dig into them and look at skills or elements of somewhat the first output to go somewhat deeper, to dig into them and look at skills or elements of some significant them are somewhat deeper, to dig into them and look at skills or elements of some significant them.

A desire to p' text as the focus of the whole lesson.

Our third motivation — nore about us as people. Both of us had not long since completed our DELTAs and — both always written materials for our classes, using both authentic and self-created texts. Our eins w.c. create materials for our classes, using both authentic and self-created texts. Our mar, less pronunciation as well as offering a platform for the development of dills. The self-created for the use of a text-abuse as the focus for the whole lesson, providing mar, less pronunciation as well as offering a platform for the development of dills. pronunciation as well as offering a platform for the development of skills. Tominson (200) need for the use of a text-driven approach to stimulate engagement and we hope that we have followed.

What happened next?

We decided to share our ideas by writing and presenting workshops at conferences. People seemed receptive to what we were saying, so we began writing our blog. The process of writing more and m lessons sharpened the focus on what we were trying to achieve and allowed us to receive feedback teachers who used them. As did our experience of teaching the lessons ourselves.

By attending conferences, we came into contact with other ideas which fed into our own. Ar this would be Cauldwell's ideas on pronunciation and the teaching of listening, namely that whe was taught, it was done so badly He argued "Currently, we teach it badly – or, to put it mor (so teach it at all. We test it, through listening comprehension questions" (Cauldwell: on). This was teach it at all. We test it, through listening comprehension questions" (Cauldwell: onsomething we felt matched our experiences as observers.

We try to avoid falling into this trap in our own materials. Additionally, we find of the greenhouse, garden and jungier relevant because we have all had students tell us sci. "It gia lightle lines of in class it is ok, but outside it is so difficult." These ideas struck a chord with us. I have a keen interest in listening skills and were both influenced by Field's 2008 book. "I have a keen interest in listening skills and were both influenced by Field's 2008 book." I have a keen in the language classroom." We therefore sought to add listening lessons that promotes. In gird and went beyond. classroom. We therefore sought to add listening lessons that promote. Ing and went beyond the classic test of knowledge type gap fill exercises. We tried to ensiminating in the displayment of challenge was there in these lessons and that the recordings had some features of authorizing in the classic test of knowledge type gap fill exercises. We tried to ensiminate in the recordings had some features of authorizing in the searching for perfect sound clamb, Field stated back in 1998 that authoritic materials and only used "to help learners to become familiar with the real cadences of the target land" are under energy suggested this wish not as common in classrooms as we might hope; especially a lower levels. So even though our lessons may not always feature entirely authoritic tests we try to enough.

There was also a determination to ensure that the internum distinction above and cadences.

What approaches by we grawn from?

Our approach to lease write part is concept of 'principled edectorsm' Mellow (2002) defines principled edectorsm at rectal large size of a variety of language learning activities, each of which may have very "Tell large large but additionally argue that busy teachers may not be able to, or in factors to lusby only one methodology for teaching, and that all teachers make informed decisions on a best suited to their own class by dipping into their teaching tookiit.

The Le. Approach

Hu is it wo wrong not to identify the debt we owe to the some of the theoretical cornerstones on which lessons are built. Firstly, the immense debt we, along with many others, owe to Michael Lew or the Lew proach. If there is a strand running through all of our lessons it is the focus on learner